

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/626,783

Applicant(s)

LEBNER, MICHAEL

Examiner

Darwin P. Erez

Art Unit

3773

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Darwin P. Erez.

(3) _____

(2) Keven Farrell.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jacoby (2818865).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner went over the arguments provided by Mr. Farrell on 11/20/08 regarding the Jacoby reference. The examiner agrees with the attorney that the Jacoby reference cannot be used to properly modify the device of Weiser. The rejection has been withdrawn and an updated search will be performed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Darwin P. Erez/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773